XX. GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES

These procedures are intended to provide students at Georgia Tech a means for setting forth grievances relating to academic matters, intellectual diversity, and final course grade disputes when they believe that an instructor has acted unfairly or improperly in assignment of grades. It is not the intention of these procedures to provide a forum for questioning the judgment or grading policies of faculty. A student’s concerns may be discussed with a faculty member and/or reported to the school or unit head, the academic deans, or the Associate Vice Provost for Advocacy and Conflict Resolution.

A. Applicability of the Grievance Procedures

1. Subject Matter:
These procedures apply to the review of grievances concerning academic matters and final course grade disputes. Grade appeals must be initiated by the grievant within their next enrolled academic term following the academic term of the course in question, and best efforts should be applied to resolve the appeal within that academic term.

2. Grievant:
These procedures shall be the appellate procedures for students at Georgia Tech. Students who have pursued a formal grievance procedure or who have pursued informally the resolution of a grievance in their own school, college, or unit and have had that appeal dismissed, may submit the grievance for review under these procedures.

B. Overview of Grievance Process

1. Informal resolution attempted at the school, department, or unit level.
2. Formal resolution sought at the school, department, or unit level.
3. Formal resolution sought at the Institute level: appeal reviewed and, if so determined, heard by the Student Grievance and Appeal Committee.

C. Steps in the Grievance Process*

*The steps of the grievance process must followed in the order presented below.

1. The student shall attempt to resolve the grievance with the individual faculty member, the department, or the unit involved.
2. If the grievance is not resolved in Step C.1 and the student elects to continue the grievance process, the student may request a formal hearing setting forth in writing the complaint and the remedy sought at the school, college, or unit level. Upon receipt of such appeal, the unit director will acknowledge the appeal in writing within seven calendar days and will expeditiously proceed to constitute an ad hoc appeal committee. The unit director will serve as a nonvoting member of the committee. In addition, the following four committee members will be selected:
   a. One tenured faculty member from within the unit, selected by the unit director.
   b. One member of the academic faculty, selected by the student.
      The student may elect not to select a faculty member; in that case, the committee will consist of three members.
   c. One member from outside the unit, selected by the Student Grievance and Appeal Committee in consultation with the unit director.
   d. One member of the academic faculty selected by the faculty member whose action is in question.
      The Committee will proceed with due haste to examine the merits of the complaint and to render a decision within 30 days. During the proceedings, the student may present any and all evidence that the student deems necessary to support the complaint, except that the Committee must agree that the evidence is in some way relevant. Such evidence may consist of documentation and/or testimony, within reason. Both grievant and respondent may be accompanied by advisors; the role of advisor must, however, be restricted to advice. Grievant and respondent must make their own cases before the committee.
      Following a hearing and a written decision at the school, college, or unit level, the grievance is presumed to be resolved unless the grievant appeals.
3. The grievant may appeal the decision that has been rendered by the school, college, or unit to the Student Grievance and Appeal Committee.
   a. If the Committee, or subset thereof appointed by the chairperson, rules that the procedures are not applicable or that based on the facts stated in the grievant viewed in the light most favorable to the grievant, there is no basis for relief, then the appeal is denied.
   b. If the Committee rules that the Institute procedural rules are applicable and that a hearing of the appeal is warranted, the Committee shall initiate a hearing process.
   c. If a student wishes to have a grievance outcome reviewed by the Student Grievance and Appeal Committee with a view to a formal hearing, the student shall observe the following requirements:
      i. The appeal must be in writing. It must state the basis for the grievance and the facts that support it, including a summary of the steps that have already been taken to resolve the grievance, reasons why the student finds the resolutions unfair or unsatisfactory, and a statement of the desired remedy.
      ii. The written appeal must be presented to the chairperson of the Student Grievance and Appeal Committee within thirty days after the student has received notice of a decision from a school, college, or unit.
      iii. The decision as to whether a formal hearing is warranted shall be made available, in writing, to the parties concerned within thirty days after the Committee has received notice of the appeal.
      iv. The Committee may alter a deadline specified in these procedures for the conduct of formal hearings.
      v. The determination of the Committee as to whether a hearing is warranted is final.
      vi. The Committee shall develop and, with the approval of the Academic Senate, establish and publish its own rules of procedures for the conduct of formal hearings.
      vii. After receiving testimony and the relevant documents, the Committee shall make a decision within thirty days on the basis of the received material.
viii. The Committee's decision shall contain finding of fact, the
decision arrived at, reasons for the decision, and the criteria
or policy applied in reaching the decision.

D. Remedies

1. General
If the Committee finds, after a formal hearing, that a faculty member,
a departmental committee, or an administrator of a unit has not acted
fairly or properly, it will recommend a remedy. It will seek to find a
remedy that can be implemented by those whose cooperation is
needed. In the matter of a grade dispute, this must include the faculty
member involved in the dispute.

2. Enforcement
   a. If any party does not comply with the decision of the Committee,
      the Committee shall, upon request of any party, seek full
      compliance through the administrative offices of the Institute
      through the Chief Academic Officer (CAO).
   b. The merits of the dispute shall not be subject to review in the
      process of enforcement. There shall be strong presumption in
      favor of the remedy selected by the Committee.

3. Report of a Final Decision
After a final decision has been made in a case, the Committee shall
prepare a report setting forth its findings and recommendations
for action and present the report to the CAO. A copy of the report
shall be presented to the parties concerned and to those persons
involved in implementing the Committee’s recommendations. All such
communications shall be effected in person or by certified mail with a
return receipt requested; such receipt will become part of the Institute
records of the case.
Care will be given that no incomplete or inaccurate information
pertaining to the grievance is placed in any file and that all evidence
obtained at any stage of the process and all deliberations and
proceedings be kept confidential. At the conclusion of each case, the
Student Grievance and Appeal Committee shall transmit original or
true copies of the documents related to the case to the appropriate
Office of the Vice President of Student Affairs, who shall keep such
records securely as Institute records for a period of time specified by
Institute statutes.
   a. Grade Changes:
      In decisions that would result in the changing of a posted grade,
      the CAO will instruct the unit director to ask the involved faculty
      member to effect the prescribed grade change or, if cooperation is
      not forthcoming, to effect the grade change directly by action of
      the unit director. Such action shall not be construed as restrictive
      of the resources of the faculty member through the usual appeal
      procedure of the Institute.

4. Final Appeal
Appeal of the decision of the Committee to the CAO shall be
permitted only for the purposes of procedural review. Such appeals
shall be submitted in writing, with copies to the Committee. The
CAO will review the findings of the Committee and, upon judgment
that the Committee has failed to follow these procedures or has
failed to follow the procedures approved by the Academic Senate
for the operation of the Student Grievance and Appeal Committee
(XX1.C.3.c.c6), return the case to the Committee for reconsideration,
along with description of the received error in procedure and a
recommendation for its correction.